arXiv:1607.06870v2 [math.CA] 5 Oct 2016

THE WEIGHTED FOURIER INEQUALITY, POLARITY, AND REVERSE HÖLDER INEQUALITY

Ryan Berndt

Abstract. We examine the problem of the Fourier transform mapping one weighted Lebesgue space into another, by studying necessary conditions and sufficient conditions which expose an underlying geometry. In the necessary conditions, this geometry is connected to an old result of Mahler concerning the the measure of a convex set and its geometric polar being essentially reciprocal. An additional assumption, that the weights must belong to a reverse Hölder class, is used to formulate the sufficient condition.

In their influential and important book on weights García-Cuerva and Rubio de Francia say that the following is "...one of the most interesting and hard problems concerning weighted inequalities." [8, p. 468]. Find necessary and sufficient conditions on weights (locally integrable, non-negative functions) u and v such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R^n}} |\widehat{f}|^q \, u\right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R^n}} |f|^p \, v\right)^{1/p}$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R^n})$.

One of our goals is to connect this problem to its underlying geometry. It is known that the weighted Fourier inequality holds when an inequality involving decreasing rearrangements involving u and v^{-1} hold [4], but by their very nature decreasing rearrangements disguise geometry. For example, the characteristic function of a square and a disk have the same decreasing rearrangement if the disk and square are equimeasurable.

In order for the weighted Fourier inequality to hold, we find that the following geometric condition must met by the weights. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_E u(x)\,dx\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_F v(x)^{-p'/p}\,dx\right)^{1/p'} \leq C,$$

where E is any bounded, measurable set, containing the origin, and F is any translation of its geometric polar. In fact, the set E may be translated as well, and the sets E and F may even be interchanged. Further, we discuss that this class of weights is essentially the same if E and F are replaced with a rectanguloid and its polar rectanguloid, or an ellipsoid and its polar ellipsoid. Previously [5], we examined this inequality where E and F were cubes of reciprocal measure. The

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B10; Secondary 42A38.
Key words and phrases. Fourier transform, weights, Hausdorff-Young, reverse Hölder, polar.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

current condition is a generalization because having reciprocal measure and being polar are essentially the same for cubes.

For sufficiency, we assume the necessary condition plus a reverse Hölder condition to prove weighted restricted weak-type estimates for the Fourier transform. This is accomplished by comparing the measures u or v to Lebesgue measure and then applying the Hausdorff-Young inequality. The result actually implies restricted weak-type for a *range* of p and q; we are then able to interpolate and obtain the weighted Fourier inequality.

Notation. If a function is nonnegative and locally integrable we call it a weight. The constant C may vary at each appearance, and $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent to p. Throughout the paper we will often write the integral of weights as measures, for example $u(E) = \int_E u$. The weight $v^{-p'/p}$ appears frequently, so we make the definition

$$w(x) = v(x)^{-p'/p}.$$

The definition of the Fourier transform we use is $\widehat{f}(z) = \int f(x)e^{-ix\cdot z}\,dx$ for $z \in \mathbf{R^n}$. All integrals are over the entire space $\mathbf{R^n}$ if a set is not otherwise indicated.

We assume w is locally integrable for convenience. Its local integrability is actually a consequence of the local integrability of u and v and the weighted Fourier inequality. One can see this by first replacing v with $v + \varepsilon$ in Theorem 1 below and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$.

1. Necessity

Definition. Let E be a bounded set containing the origin. The *polar* of the set E is

$$E^\circ = \{z \in \mathbf{R^n} : |x \cdot z| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

The polar of a set is a convex, centrally symmetric set.

A result of Mahler's [11], [see also 6 and 12], is that there exist dimensional constants d_1 and d_2 such that

$$d_1 \leq |E||E^\circ| \leq d_2$$

for all E bounded, convex, and centrally symmetric sets. Finding the best possible constant d_1 in dimensions higher than 2 is open. We are not concerned with the best constants here.

We note that if E is any bounded, measurable set, then the upper bound d_2 still holds—even if E is not itself convex. Without loss of generality, suppose $0 \in E$. Since E° is convex and centrally symmetric we have $|E^\circ||E^{\circ\circ}| \leq d_2$. Also, $E \subset E^{\circ\circ}$, hence $|E^\circ||E| \leq d_2$.

For convenience we make the following definition.

Definition. We say that $(u, v) \in Fourier(p, q)$ if

$$\left(\int |\widehat{f}|^q\, u\right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int |f|^p\, v\right)^{1/p}$$

for all $f \in L^1$.

Our first observation is a consequence of the properties of the Fourier transform and its modulus. We will use it frequently throughout the paper, and simply refer to it as the translation property.

Translation Property [5]. *If the weighted Fourier inequality is satisfied then all translations of the weights also satisfy the same inequality. That is,*

$$(u(x), v(x)) \in Fourier(p,q) \iff$$
$$(u(x+\tau_1), v(x+\tau_2)) \in Fourier(p,q).$$

for all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The constant in the Fourier inequality is unchanged for the translated weights.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $1 < p, q < \infty$. The weighted Fourier inequality, $(u, v) \in Fourier(p,q)$, implies each of the following statements, which are themselves equivalent.*

(i) *For all bounded, measurable sets E, all $-\mu \in E$, and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$,*

$$\left(\int_{(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau} |\widehat{f}|^q \, u \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_E |f|^p \, v \right)^{1/p}$$

for all $f \in L^1$ supported in E.

The sets E and $(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau$ may be interchanged in the inequality. In this case, the inequality holds for all f supported in $(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau$.

(ii) *Let E be any bounded, measurable set in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then, for all $-\mu \in E$ and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$,*

$$\left(\int_E f \right) \left(\int_{(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau} u \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_E f^p \, v \right)^{1/p}$$

for all nonnegative integrable functions f supported in E.

The sets E and $(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau$ may be interchanged in the inequality. In this case, the inequality holds for all f supported in $(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau$.

(iii) *For all bounded, measurable sets E,*

$$\left(\int_{(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau} u(x) \, dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_E v(x)^{-p'/p} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \leq C.$$

for all $-\mu \in E$ and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R^n}$. The sets E and $(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau$ may be interchanged in the inequality.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we may assume $0 \in E$ by the translation property. We note that this implies $0 \in E^\circ$ as well. Also, the roles of E and E° may be interchanged throughout.

It is clear that the weighted Fourier inequality implies (i). On the right hand side of this inequality, we simply take $f \in L^1$ supported in the set E. On the left hand side, we integrate over the reduced domain E°, which makes that integral smaller.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $f \in L^1$ be nonnegative and supported in E. Then, for all $z \in E^\circ$, $\cos(x \cdot z) \geq \cos(1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}|\widehat{f}(z)| &= \left| \int_E f(x) e^{-ix\cdot z}\, dx \right| \\ &\geq \int_E f(x) \cos(x\cdot z)\, dx \\ &\geq \cos(1) \int_E f(x)\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the fact that the modulus of the complex number $|\widehat{f}(z)|$ is greater than the absolute value of its real part $|\int_E f(x)\cos(x\cdot z)|$, and this quantity is nonnegative.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). We take $f = v^{-p'/p}\chi_E$ in the expression offered by (ii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Using the fact that $|\widehat{f}| \leq \|f\|_1$, and that f is supported in E, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{E^\circ} |\widehat{f}|^q\, u \right)^{1/q} &\leq \left(\int_E f \right) u(E^\circ)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\int_E |f| v^{1/p} v^{-1/p} \right) u(E^\circ)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\int_E |f|^p v \right)^{1/p} w(E)^{1/p'} u(E^\circ)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_E |f|^p\, v \right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we applied (iii) to make the estimate $w(E)^{1/p'} u(E^\circ)^{1/q} \leq C$.

The technique used to prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) originates with Benedetto and Heinig [3, p. 253], where it was used in a different setting. The same technique was applied in Berndt [5] to find a necessary condition similar to the one in (iii) above; and, this technique was used very recently by De Carli, Gorbachev, and Tikhonov [7] to prove (iii) as stated.

Remark. If $u \equiv 1 \equiv v$ then $w \equiv 1$ and the necessary condition (iii) reads $|E^\circ|^{1/q}|E|^{1/p'} \leq C$ for convex, centrally symmetric E. By Mahler's inequality, $|E| \approx |E^\circ|^{-1}$ hence

$$\frac{|E|^{1/p'}}{|E|^{1/q}} \leq C$$

which can only hold for all E if $q = p'$. This is another way to see that the only exponents for which the Hausdorff-Young inequality can hold are conjugate exponents.

In light of the theorem, we make the following definition of the class $N(p,q)$, of which u and v must necessarily belong for the weighted Fourier inequality to hold.

Definition. Suppose $1 < p, q < \infty$. We say that $(u,v) \in N(p,q)$ if and only if for all bounded, measurable sets E

$$\left(\int_{(E+\mu)^\circ + \tau} u(x)\, dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_E v(x)^{-p'/p}\, dx \right)^{1/p'} \leq C \tag{1}$$

for all $-\mu \in E$ and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$. And,

$$\left(\int_E u(x)\,dx\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{(E+\mu)^\circ+\tau} v(x)^{-p'/p}\,dx\right)^{1/p'} \leq C \tag{2}$$

for all $-\mu \in E$ and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Hence, by Theorem 1,

$$(u,v) \in Fourier(p,q) \Rightarrow (u,v) \in N(p,q).$$

The arbitrary bounded sets E may be replaced in the definition of $N(p,q)$ with ellipsoids or rectanguloids without substantively changing it. The difference being a constant depending on the dimension, which we show in the theorem below. However, if the weights u and w are doubling, the definitions are equivalent.

Definition. If $n \geq 2$, we denote by $N_S(p,q)$ and $N_R(p,q)$ the collection of pairs (u,v) such that (1) and (2) hold with E replaced by ellipsoids S, and by rectanguloids R, respectively.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p,q < \infty$. If u and w are doubling measures, then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $(u,v) \in N(p,q)$
(ii) $(u,v) \in N_S(p,q)$
(iii) $(u,v) \in N_R(p,q)$

Proof. The key component is a theorem of John [10], see also Ball [2, p. 13]. Given any convex, symmetric set F in $\mathbf{R}^n$ there is an ellipsoid S such that $S \subset F \subset \sqrt{n}S$.

Let E be a given bounded, measurable set. Without loss of generality, we may assume the origin is interior to E by the translation property. Since $E^{\circ\circ}$ is convex and symmetric, there exists an ellipsoid S such that

$$S \subset E^{\circ\circ} \subset \sqrt{n}S$$

and by the properties of polarity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S^\circ \subset E^\circ \subset S^\circ.$$

Here, we used the facts that $A \subset B$ implies $B^\circ \subset A^\circ$, $(kA)^\circ = k^{-1}A^\circ$ for $k \in \mathbf{R}$, and $A^{\circ\circ\circ} = A^\circ$. Thus, $u(E^\circ) \leq u(S^\circ)$ and $w(E) \leq w(E^{\circ\circ}) \leq w(\sqrt{n}S) \leq Cw(S)$ since w is doubling. Likewise, $u(E) \leq Cu(S)$ and $w(E^\circ) \leq w(S^\circ)$. Therefore, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The other implications are either trivial or done similarly.

Remark. If $n = 1$ then "ellipsoids" and "rectanguloids" are simply intervals, so $N_S(p,q)$ and $N_R(p,q)$ are the same. And, $N(p,q) = N_S(p,q) = N_R(p,q)$ because every bounded, convex set is an interval.

2. Sufficiency

We use two ingredients for proving sufficiency. The first is the necessary condition and the second is a reverse Hölder condition. The full strength of the necessary

condition will not be required; instead, we replace the arbitrary sets with cubes Q in the definition of $N(p,q)$ to create a class $N_Q(p,q)$–just as we did with rectangles above. The reverse Hölder condition will take the form of comparability of measures.

Definition. Suppose $1 < p, q < \infty$. We say that $(u,v) \in N_Q(p,q)$ if and only

$$u(Q)^{1/q} w((Q+\mu)^\circ + \tau)^{1/p'} \leq C$$

for all cubes Q, $-\mu \in Q$, and all $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$. We note that $N(p,q) \subset N_Q(p,q)$.

Defintion. Two measures μ_1 and μ_1 are comparable if and only if for all measurable sets E and cubes Q such that $E \subset Q$,

$$\frac{\mu_1(E)}{\mu_2(Q)} \leq C \left(\frac{\mu_2(E)}{\mu_2(Q)} \right)^\delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$. We abbreviate this as $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in C(\delta)$.

Provided that one of the measures is doubling, the comparability of measures is well known [9, p. 402] to be equivalent to the reverse Hölder condition,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu_1(Q)} \int_Q \sigma^{1+\varepsilon} d\mu_1 \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{\mu_1(Q)} \int_Q \sigma d\mu_1$$

where $d\mu_2(x) = \sigma(x) d\mu_1(x)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

For the proof of the sufficiency, we will assume that either u or v is comparable to Lebesgue measure, depending on the exponents p' and q. Namely, that if $p' > q$ then (a) $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p')$, and if $p' < q$ then (b) $(|\cdot|, v) \in C(q'/p)$. As reverse Hölder inequalities, these conditions read

$$(a) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^{1+\varepsilon_1} dx \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon_1)} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q u dx$$

$$(b) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{v(Q)} \int_Q \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{1+\varepsilon_2} dv \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon_2)} \leq \frac{C}{v(Q)} \int_Q \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) dv$$

The parameters ε_1 and ε_2 are functions of q/p' and q'/p, respectively. These characterizations could be used, but for this application, we prefer the characterization given by comparability as it is more direct for our purposes.

Theorem 3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and suppose $(u,v) \in N_Q(p,q)$. Each of the following statements imply the next.*

(i) *For all measurable sets E and all cubes Q such that $E \subset Q$,*

$$\frac{u(E)}{u(Q)} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^{q/p'} \quad \text{if } \ p' > q$$

$$\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq C \left(\frac{v(E)}{v(Q)} \right)^{q'/p} \quad \text{if } \ p' < q.$$

(ii) *For all bounded, measurable sets E and F,*

$$u(E)^{1/q} \leq C |E|^{1/s} |F|^{-1/s'} v(F)^{1/p}$$

where $s = \max\{p', q\}$.

(iii) *If* $\max\{p', q\} \geq 2$ *then the Fourier transform is restricted weak-type (p,q) with respect to the measures* u *and* v. *That is,*

$$u(\{z : |\widehat{\chi}_A(z)| > \alpha\})^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} v(A)^{1/p}$$

for all measurable sets A *of finite* v *measure.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We first consider the case $p' > q$. Suppose E and F are bounded, measurable subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$. We let x be any point in the Lebesgue set of w, and choose Q centered at the origin. By the necessary condition, $(u, v) \in N_Q(p, q)$, and since $|Q||Q^\circ| = c$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|^{q/p'}} \int_Q u\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q^\circ + x|} \int_{Q^\circ + x} w\right)^{1/p'} \leq C.$$

We take Q large enough to contain E while shrinking $Q^\circ + x$ towards $\{x\}$. By the hypothesis, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|E|^{q/p'}} \int_E u\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q^\circ + x|} \int_{Q^\circ + x} w\right)^{1/p'} \leq C.$$

Taking $Q^\circ + x \to \{x\}$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|E|^{q/p'}} \int_E u\right)^{1/q} w(x)^{1/p'} \leq C.$$

Since x was an arbitrary point in the Lebesgue set of w, we have the same inequality for almost every x. We raise both sides to the p' power and then integrate over the set F. Moving terms we find

$$u(E)^{1/q} w(F)^{1/p'} \leq C|E|^{1/p'}|F|^{1/p'},$$

and applying Hölder's inequality, $|F| \leq v(F)^{1/p} w(F)^{1/p'}$, we conclude

$$u(E)^{1/q} \leq C|E|^{1/p}|F|^{-1/p'} v(F)^{1/p}.$$

For the case $p' < q$, we suppose E and F are bounded, measurable sets and Q is centered at the origin. Let x be a point in the Lebesgue set of u. We start with the inequality $u(Q + x)^{1/q} w(Q^\circ)^{1/p} \leq C$, apply Hölder's inequality, and $|Q||Q^\circ| = c$ to find $u(Q + x)^{1/q} \leq C v(Q^\circ)^{1/p}|Q|$. Dividing both sides by $|Q|^{1/q}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q + x|} \int_{Q+x} u\right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|Q^\circ|^{p/q'}} \int_{Q^\circ} v\right)^{1/p}.$$

As $Q + x \to \{x\}$, Q° eventually contains F, so by hypothesis and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem,

$$u(x)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|F|^{p/q'}} \int_F v\right)^{1/p}.$$

Integrating over E on both sides,

$$u(E)^{1/q} \leq C|E|^{1/q}|F|^{-1/q'}v(F)^{1/p}.$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). We let A be a bounded, measurable set and $E_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbf{R^n} : |\widehat{\chi}_A(z)| > \alpha\}$. Since $\chi_A \in L^1$, its Fourier transform has limit zero at infinity, hence E_α is bounded. We replace E with E_α, and F with A in the hypothesis, so that

$$u(E_\alpha)^{1/q} \leq C|E_\alpha|^{1/s}|A|^{-1/s'}v(A)^{1/p}.$$

The Hausdorff-Young inequality implies the restricted weak-type inequality

$$\alpha|E_\alpha|^{1/s} \leq C|A|^{1/s'}$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ and for all $s \geq 2$. Thus,

$$u(E_\alpha)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha}v(A)^{1/p}$$

for all bounded sets A.

Next, we extend to the case where $v(A) < \infty$, whether or not A is bounded. The problem is that $\widehat{\chi}_A$ does not necessarily exist as the Fourier transform of an an integrable function if A is not bounded. As a substitute, we will use the completeness of $L^{q,\infty}(u)$ to define $\widehat{\chi}_A$.

Let A_j be a sequence of bounded sets such that $v(A_j) \to v(A)$. For example, A_j could be compact subsets of A increasing to A. We know that for each j, and all $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha u(\{z : |\widehat{\chi}_{A_j}(z)| > \alpha\})^{1/q} \leq Cv(A_j)^{1/p}$; or, put another way,

$$\|\widehat{\chi}_{A_j}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} \leq Cv(A_j)^{1/p}$$

for all j. The constant does not depend on j. To show the sequence $\widehat{\chi}_{A_j}$ is Cauchy in $L^{q,\infty}(u)$ we note that $\chi_{A_i} - \chi_{A_j} = \chi_{A_i - A_j} - \chi_{A_j - A_i}$, and since $A_i - A_j$ and $A_j - A_i$ are bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\chi}_{A_i} - \widehat{\chi}_{A_j}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} &\leq C\left(\|\widehat{\chi}_{A_i - A_j}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} + \|\widehat{\chi}_{A_j - A_i}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)}\right) \\ &\leq C(v(A_i - A_j)^{1/p} + v(A_j - A_i)^{1/p}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The right hand side approaches zero as $i, j \to \infty$ since $v(A_j) \to v(A)$ by assumption. By completeness, $\widehat{\chi}_{A_j}$ must converge to some function $\phi \in L^{q,\infty}(u)$ in this space's quasinorm.

To show that ϕ is uniquely determined, we suppose B_j is another sequence of bounded sets such that $v(B_j) \to v(A)$. Again, there exists a function $\psi \in L^{q,\infty}(u)$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_{B_j} \to \psi$ in $L^{q,\infty}(u)$. Then,

$$\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} \leq C(\|\psi - \widehat{\chi}_{A_j}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} + \|\widehat{\chi}_{A_j} - \widehat{\chi}_{B_j}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} + \|\widehat{\chi}_{B_j} - \psi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)}).$$

We already know the first and third terms on the right converge to zero as $j \to \infty$. The middle term also converges to zero, which can be shown just as (3) was above, since $v(A_j) \to v(A)$ and $v(B_j) \to v(A)$. Hence ϕ and ψ have the same quasinorm, and belong to the same equivalence class. We call our choice of representative function $\widehat{\chi}_A$. Therefore,

$$\|\widehat{\chi}_A\|_{L^{q,\infty}(u)} \le Cv(A)^{1/p}$$

for all A such that $v(A) < \infty$, completing the proof.

In the proof above, considering the case $p' > q$, we notice it would have sufficed to assume for almost every x, $u(Q)^{1/q} w(Q^\circ + x)^{1/p'} \le C$ for large cubes Q and small cubes Q°. The condition need not hold for cubes Q of all sizes. Similarly, in the case $p' < q$ it would suffice to assume for almost every x, $u(Q+x)^{1/q} w(Q^\circ)^{1/p'} \le C$ for small Q and large Q°. Further, it would have sufficed to assume the necessary condition formulated in terms of the weights u and v as opposed to u and w, which we get by applying Hölder's inequality $|Q| \le v(Q)^{1/p} w(Q)^{1/p'}$.

Definition. We let $N_Q^1(p,q)$ be the set of all pairs (u,v) such that

$$u(Q)^{1/q} \le Cv((Q+\mu)^\circ + \tau)^{1/p}|Q|$$

for all cubes Q where $|Q| \ge 1$.

Definition. We let $N_Q^2(p,q)$ be the set of all pairs (u,v) such that

$$u(Q)^{1/q} \le Cv((Q+\mu)^\circ + \tau)^{1/p}|Q|$$

for all cubes Q where $|Q| < 1$.

We note that $N(p,q) \subset N_Q(p,q) \subset N_Q^j(p,q)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, if the necessary condition $N(p,q)$ holds then both $N_Q^1(p,q)$ and $N_Q^2(p,q)$ hold. The spaces $N_Q^j(p,q)$ have an advantage that is important in proving the weighted Fourier inequality. Specifically, belonging to $N_Q^j(p,q)$ actually implies that (u,v) belong to the same class for an entire *range* of p and q, as the next result shows.

Theorem 4. *If $(u,v) \in N_Q^1(p_0,q_0)$ then $(u,v) \in N_Q^1(p,q)$ for all p and q such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in \left\{(x,y) : y = \frac{p_0}{q_0}x \text{ and } 0 < x \le \frac{1}{p_0}\right\}.$$

If $(u,v) \in N_Q^2(p_0,q_0)$ then $(u,v) \in N_Q^2(p,q)$ for all p and q such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in \left\{(x,y) : y = \frac{p_0}{q_0}x \text{ and } \frac{1}{p_0} \le x < 1\right\}.$$

Proof. We suppose $(u,v) \in N_Q^1(p_0,q_0)$, and take Q centered at the origin. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} u(Q) &\le Cv(Q^\circ)^{q_0/p_0}|Q|^{q_0} \\ &= Cv(Q^\circ)^{q/p}|Q|^{q_0} \\ &\le Cv(Q^\circ)^{q/p}|Q|^{q} \end{aligned}$$

since $q_0/p_0 = q/p$, $q_0 \le q$, and $|Q| \ge 1$.

The proof for N_Q^2 is entirely analogous.

Similarly, the comparability of measures for a particular p and q automatically self-improves to the comparability of measures for a range of values for p and q.

Theorem 5. *If $p_0' > q_0$ and $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q_0/p_0')$ then $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p')$ for all p and q such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in \left\{(x,y) \in (0,1)^2 : y > -\frac{1}{\bar{s}}x + \frac{1}{\bar{s}}\right\}.$$

for some $\bar{s} > q_0/p_0'$.

If $p_0' < q_0$ and $(|\cdot|, v) \in C(q_0'/p_0)$ then $(|\cdot|, v) \in C(q'/p)$ for all p and q such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in \left\{(x,y) \in (0,1)^2 : y > -\frac{1}{\bar{r}}x + \frac{1}{\bar{r}}\right\}.$$

for some $\bar{r} > q_0'/p_0$.

Proof. Although not explicit in two standard references known to this author: Garcia-Cuerva and Rubio de Francia [8, p. 402] or Grafakos [9, p. 305] the following holds for comparable weights. If μ_1 and μ_2 are two positive measures where

$$\frac{\mu_2(E)}{\mu_2(Q)} \leq C\left(\frac{\mu_1(E)}{\mu_1(Q)}\right)^{\delta}$$

and one of them is doubling, then the other measure is doubling, and there exists a $\bar{\delta} > \delta$ such that

$$\frac{\mu_2(E)}{\mu_2(Q)} \leq C\left(\frac{\mu_1(E)}{\mu_1(Q)}\right)^{\lambda}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, \bar{\delta})$. Therefore, we know that there exist $\bar{s} > q_0/p_0'$ and $\bar{r} > q_0'/p_0$ such that

(a) $\frac{u(E)}{u(Q)} \leq C\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{q_0/p_0'} \Rightarrow \frac{u(E)}{u(Q)} \leq C\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{s}$ for all $s \in [0, \bar{s})$

(b) $\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq C\left(\frac{v(E)}{v(Q)}\right)^{q_0'/p_0} \Rightarrow \frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq C\left(\frac{v(E)}{v(Q)}\right)^{r}$ for all $r \in [0, \bar{r})$.

where the first case is when $p_0' > q_0$ and the second case is when $p_0' < q_0$. Considering the first case, we want to find all p and q such that $q/p' < \bar{s}$. Making the substitutions $x = 1/p$ and $y = 1/q$ we get $(1-x)/y < \bar{s}$, or $y > -\bar{s}^{-1}x + \bar{s}^{-1}$. The other case is handled the same way.

Corollary. *If $p_0' > q_0$ and $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q_0/p_0')$ then*

$$\frac{u(E)}{u(Q)} \leq C\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{q/p'}$$

for all $(1/p, 1/q)$ in an open ball centered at $(1/p_0, 1/q_0)$. Similarly, if $p_0' < q_0$ and $(|\cdot|, v) \in C(q_0'/p_0)$ then

$$\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq C\left(\frac{v(E)}{v(Q)}\right)^{q'/p}$$

for all $(1/p, 1/q)$ in an open ball centered at $(1/p_0, 1/q_0)$.

Before we show the weighted strong-type inequality, we outline the simple idea that might get lost in the notation. First, the two ingredients, the necessary condition and the comparability of measures, imply weighted restricted weak-type for a particular p and q:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (u,v) \in N(p,q) \\ (u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p') \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (u,v) \in N^1_Q(p,q) \\ (u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p') \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{restricted} \\ \text{weak-type } (p,q) \end{array}$$

but since both classes automatically apply to a range of p and q

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (u,v) \in N^1_Q(p,q) \\ (u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p') \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (u,v) \in N^1_Q(\text{line}) \\ (u, |\cdot|) \in C(\text{disk}) \end{array} \right\},$$

we are able to deduce

restricted weak-type for indices along the intersection of a line and a disk

and therefore, by interpolation, we infer the weighted strong-type inequality for points in the interior of this intersection. We now prove this precisely.

Theorem 6. *Suppose* $1 < p_0 \le q_0 < \infty$ *and* $\max\{p_0', q_0\} \ge 2$. *If one of the following holds*

(a) $p_0' > q_0$, $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q_0/p_0')$, *and* $(u,v) \in N_Q(p,q)$ *for some* $p < p_0$ *where* $p/q = p_0/q_0$*; or,*
(b) $p_0' < q_0$, $(|\cdot|, v) \in C(q_0'/p_0)$, *and* $(u,v) \in N_Q(p,q)$ *for some* $p > p_0$ *where* $p/q = p_0/q_0$,

then the weighted Fourier inequality

$$\left(\int |\widehat{f}|^{q_0} \, u \right)^{1/q_0} \le C \left(\int |f|^{p_0} \, v \right)^{1/p_0}$$

holds.

Proof. We only show the case $p_0' > q_0$. We define the open line segment

$$\ell = \left\{ (x,y) \in (0,1)^2 : y = \frac{p_0}{q_0} x \right\}$$

and show that there are two points $(1/p_2, 1/q_2)$ and $(1/p_1, 1/q_1)$ along this line on either side of the point $(1/p_0, 1/q_0)$ where the Fourier transform is restricted weak-type so that it is strong-type (p_0, q_0) by interpolation.

By the corollary, $(u, |\cdot|) \in C(q/p')$ for all $(1/p, 1/q)$ in an open ball centered at $(1/p_0, 1/q_0)$. We choose points $(1/p_1, 1/q_1)$ and $(1/p_2, 1/q_2)$ in this neighborhood along ℓ such that $p < p_1 < p_0 < p_2$.

By Theorem 4, $(u,v) \in N^1_Q(s,t)$ for all s,t such that $(1/s, 1/t) \in \ell$ and $s \ge p$, therefore $(u,v) \in N^1_Q(p_j, q_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Hence, by Theorem 3, the Fourier transform is restricted weak-type (p_j, q_j) for $j = 1, 2$ and the proof is complete.

3. A Conjecture on Mahler Volume

Mahler's inequality tells us that for convex, centrally symmetric sets E, there are positive dimensional constants d_1 and d_2 such that

$$d_1 \leq |E||E^\circ| \leq d_2.$$

We would like to generalize the quantity $|E||E^\circ|$, which is called the Mahler volume, using the weights of this paper.

By theorem 1 of section 1, if $(u, v) \in Fourier(p, q)$ there is a constant c_2 so that for all such E,

$$u(E)^{1/q} w(E^\circ)^{1/p'} \leq c_2.$$

Indeed, we even know that the sets E and E° may be replaced by a translation and a translation of its polar, respectively, while maintaining the upper bound c_2. Hence,

$$u(E+\mu)^{1/q} w((E+\mu)^\circ + \tau)^{1/p'} \leq c_2,$$

where c_2 does not depend on μ and τ.

At this point we would like to introduce a positive lower bound c_1 to the quantity in question as well; but, this trivially fails when either u or w is an integrable function. For example, suppose $\mu = \tau = 0$ and u is integrable and we increase the size of E. We know that $|E^\circ|$ must decrease in size. Hence, $u(E)^{1/q}$ has a finite maximum value, while $w(E^\circ)^{1/p'}$ approaches zero. However, u and w being integrable form a subclass of elements of $N(p, q)$ that makes the Fourier inequality trivial.

Theorem 7. *If $u, w = v^{-p'/p} \in L^1$ then*

$$\left(\int |\widehat{f}|^q \, u \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int |f|^p \, v \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. We simply apply $|\widehat{f}| \leq \|f\|_1$ and Hölder's inequality as in the proof of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 1.

Therefore, our conjecture on a generalized Mahler volume for weights arising from the weighted Fourier inequality takes the following form.

Conjecture. *If $(u, v) \in Fourier(p, q)$ and $u, w \notin L^1$ then for convex, symmetric sets E with $0 \in E$, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$c_1 \leq \sup_{\mu, \tau \in \mathbf{R}^n} u(E+\mu)^{1/q} w((E+\mu)^\circ + \tau)^{1/p'} \leq c_2.$$

To be clear, the unsolved part is the existence of the positive lower bound. We believe that proving the conjecture is essential to understanding the connection between the necessary and sufficient conditions discussed in this paper.

Acknowledgements. The first part of this work was completed while the author was taking a sabbatical at the University of Western Ontario, hosted by Professor Gord Sinnamon. The author is thankful for the hospitality and helpful insights.

References

1. N. Aguilera and E. Harboure, *On the search for weighted norm inequalities for the Fourier transform*, Pacific J. Math. **104** (1983), 1—14.
2. K. Ball, *Flavors of geometry*, An elementary introduction to modern convex geometry, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 1991, pp. 1—58.
3. J. Benedetto and H. Heinig, *Weighted Hardy spaces and the Laplace transform*, Harmonic Analysis, Proceedings, Cortona 1982 (G. Mauceri, F. Ricci, and G. Weiss, eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 992, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983, pp. 240—277.
4. J. Benedetto and H. Heinig, *Weighted Fourier inequalities: New Proofs and Generalizations*, J. Fourier Anal. and Appl. **9** (2003), 1—37.
5. R. Berndt, *Symmetric conditions for a weighted Fourier transform inequality*, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. **379**, 439—443.
6. J. Bourgain, V. Milman, *New volume ratio properties for convex symmetric bodies in* $\mathbf{R^n}$, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 319—340.
7. L. De Carli, D. Gorbachev, and S. Tikhonov, *Pitt Inequalities and restriction theorems for the Fourier transform*, arXiv:1509.01210.
8. J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North Holland mathematical studies 46, Amsterdam, 1981.
9. L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, 2nd ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2009.
10. F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions.*, Courant anniversary volume, Interscience, New York, 1948, pp. 187—204.
11. K. Mahler, *Ein Übertragungsprincip fütirkonvexe Körper. Casopis*, Pest. Mat. Fys. **68** (1909), 93—102.
12. D. Ryabogin and A. Zvavitch, *Analytic methods in convex geometry*; Lecures given at the Polish Academy of Sciences, November 2011 (to appear in IMPAN lecture notes).

Otterbein University, 1 South Grove, Westerville, OH 43214
E-mail address: rberndt@otterbein.edu